



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

YB
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,014	05/03/2001	Anunay Gupta	A-22191/P2/CGC 2061	5699
324	7590	12/17/2003	EXAMINER	
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			MULCAHY, PETER D	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
540 WHITE PLAINS RD			1713	
P O BOX 2005			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005			G	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

YD

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/848,014	GUPTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4)<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____. 5)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6)<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Art Unit 1713

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ciocca et al., U.S. Patent 5,766,772 or Hackhbl, U.S. Patent 3,048,266, European Patent Application No. 1055610 or 931805 each taken alone or further in view of Unithox Technical Release No. 4022.0.

The rejection as set forth under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in Paper No. 6 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

The European Patent Application 931805 is newly cited in response to the amendment and is seen to further show compounds which can fall within the scope of those as instantly claimed. These compounds are further described as being suitable antifogging agents in polyolefinic films.

Applicants' primary point of contention is that the newly amended claims limit the compound so as to have a minimum of 24 carbon atoms in the alkyl or fatty alcohol portion of the

Art Unit 1713

compound. The Examiner acknowledges that these patents do not specifically identify the claimed compound but rather show various compounds wherein the substituents and the variables can be selected so as to fall within the scope of the instantly claimed invention. Specifically the Hackhbl patent has multiple R units which can be alkyl groups and as such, this patent is not limited to the ether group having 22 carbon atoms as argued by applicants. This rationale applies to the European document as well wherein it is not seen that the fatty alcohol portion is limited to 12 carbon atoms.

The Examiner has cited the secondary document as showing applicants' instantly claimed antifog agent. Applicants have failed to distinguish the compounds as shown within this document and those as instantly claimed. As such, the Examiner maintains it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the antifogging agents as cited in the secondary document and use them as antifogging agents in polyolefinic compositions as taught in the primary references. Applicants have failed to specifically address this rejection.

Applicants have identified a comparative showing within the specification which is alleged to show the unexpected results achieved by the instantly claimed invention. This has been fully considered and has been deemed to be not sufficient so as to

Art Unit 1713

place the claims in condition for allowance. The showing is not seen to be representative of the closest prior art nor does it sufficiently support the breadth of the instantly claimed invention. As such, the claims remain unpatentable.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE

Serial No. 09/848,014

-5-

Art Unit 1713

STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM
THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc
December 15, 2003


PETER D. MULCAHY
PRIMARY EXAMINER